		<u>L</u>	Party	·	Ger	ıder	P	olitical	View	Unio	n HH	<u></u>	Region		L	Ethnicity		<u></u>	Age		<u></u>	Relig	ion		<u></u>	Income	<u> </u>
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind/ Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	Afr Amer /Black	Latino	18-2/	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$50K- \$100K	\$100K
Right track	44%	55%	28%	39%	46%	42%	55%	50%	24%	38%	46%	53%	41%	36%	38%	66%	44%	50%	42%	41%	35%	49%	48%	53%	49%	40%	45%
Wrong direction	46%	36%	65%	46%	46%	46%	34%	40%	66%	53%	43%	38%	51%	52%	51%	27%	47%	40%	48%	48%	55%	40%	45%	35%	43%	49%	46%
Don't know/No opinion	10%	9%	7%	15%	8%	12%	10%	10%	10%	9%	10%	9%	8%	12%	10%	7%	8%	10%	10%	11%	10%	11%	7%	12%	8%	11%	9%
Don't know/No opinion	10%	970	7 70	15%	670	1270	10%	10%	10%	970	10%	9%	070	12%	10%	770	670	10%	10%	11%	10%	1170	770	12%	670	1170	970
I'm going to read a series of names of peo	ple and	institut	ions in	public l	ife and	I'd like	you to	tell m	e whether	you ha	ve a fa	vorab	e opini	on or a	n unfav	orable opir	nion of e	ach pe	rson or	institu	tion I n	ame. [Q2	2-Q8 R	OTATED)]		
Q2. Andrew Cuomo									-																		
			Party		Ger	der	P	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	ion			Income	•
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black			35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other		\$100K	\$100k
Favorable	53%	64%	34%	50%	53%	53%	57%	61%	37%	44%	56%	63%	54%	42%	47%	77%	61%	48%	52%	56%	45%	64%	60%	56%	59%	50%	51%
Unfavorable	44%	33%	64%	46%	44%	44%	39%	36%	62%	54%	41%	35%	40%	56%	51%	20%	34%	42%	48%	41%	51%	33%	38%	40%	37%	45%	48%
Don't know/No opinion	3%	3%	2%	4%	4%	3%	4%	3%	1%	2%	4%	2%	7%	2%	3%	2%	5%	9%	0%	3%	4%	2%	1%	5%	4%	4%	1%
Q3. New York State Assembly																1											
			Party		Ger	der	P	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	ion			Income	•
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K
Favorable	43%	50%	33%	43%	42%	44%	51%	44%	36%	43%	44%	53%	37%	37%	40%	59%	51%	60%	44%	33%	40%	43%	42%	48%	50%	48%	37%
Unfavorable	46%	41%	58%	46%	50%	43%	39%	46%	56%	52%	45%	37%	52%	52%	51%	29%	33%	31%	46%	55%	48%	48%	48%	43%	39%	44%	54%
Don't know/No opinion	10%	9%	9%	11%	8%	12%	10%	10%	8%	6%	12%	10%	11%	10%	9%	12%	16%	9%	10%	11%	12%	9%	10%	9%	12%	9%	8%
Q4. New York State Senate																											
			Party		Ger	nder	P	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	ion			Income	•
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem		Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino		35-54		Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K
Favorable	41%	45%	33%	41%	420/	41%	48%	40%	36%	35%	44%	48%	35%	38%	39%	50%	49%	50%	43%	35%	40%	41%	41%	42%	52%	47%	31%
Unfavorable					42%																			,0			
	49%	44%	57%	53%	54%	46%	46%	50%	57%	59%	46%	43%	56%	53%	53%	34%	39%	40%	48%	56%	49%	52%	49%	50%	33%	46%	65%
Don't know/No opinion	49% 9%	44% 10%													53% 8%	34% 16%	39% 13%		48% 9%	56% 10%						46% 7%	65% 5%
Don't know/No opinion Q5. Carl Heastie		_	57%	53%	54%	46%	46%	50%	57%	59%	46%	43%	56%	53%				40%			49%	52%	49%	50%	33%		
•		_	57%	53% 5%	54%	46% 14%	46% 6%	50%	57% 8%	59%	46% 11%	43% 10%	56%	53% 9%				40%			49%	52%	49% 10%	50%	33%		5%
•		_	57% 10%	53% 5%	54% 4%	46% 14%	46% 6%	50% 10%	57% 8%	59% 6%	46% 11%	43% 10%	56% 9%	53% 9%		16%		40%	9%		49%	52% 7%	49% 10%	50%	33%	7%	5%
•		_	57% 10% Party	53% 5%	54% 4%	46% 14%	46% 6%	50% 10%	57% 8%	59% 6%	46% 11%	43% 10%	56% 9%	53% 9%		16% Ethnicity	13%	40% 10%	9%		49%	52% 7%	49% 10%	50% 8%	33%	7% Income \$50K-	5%
•	9%	10%	57% 10% Party	53% 5% Ind/	54% 4% Ger	46% 14% nder	46% 6%	50% 10% olitical	57% 8% View	59% 6% Unio	46% 11% n HH	43% 10%	56% 9% Region	53% 9%	8%	16% Ethnicity Afr Amer	13%	40% 10%	9% Age	10%	49% 11%	52% 7% Relig	49% 10% sion	50% 8%	33% 15%	7% Income \$50K-	5%
Q5. Carl Heastie	9% Total	10% Dem	57% 10% Party Rep	53% 5% Ind/ Other	54% 4% Ger	46% 14% nder	46% 6% Po	50% 10% olitical	57% 8% View Conserv	59% 6% Unio	46% 11% n HH	43% 10% NYC	56% 9% Region	53% 9% Upst	8% White	Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black	13%	40% 10% 18-34	9% Age 35-54	10% 55+	49% 11% Cath	52% 7% Relig	49% 10% sion Prot	50% 8% Other	33% 15% <\$50K	7% Income \$50K- \$100K	5% \$100K
Q5. Carl Heastie	9% Total 12%	10% Dem 16%	57% 10% Party Rep 12%	53% 5% Ind/ Other 7%	54% 4% Ger M 13%	46% 14% nder F 11%	46% 6% Po Lib 13%	50% 10% olitical Mod 13%	57% 8% View Conserv 12%	59% 6% Unio Yes 12%	46% 11% n HH No 12%	43% 10% NYC 13%	56% 9% Region Subs 9%	53% 9% Upst 13%	8% White 11%	Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 15%	13% Latino 15%	18-34 20%	9% Age 35-54 10%	10% 55+ 9%	49% 11% Cath 9%	52% 7% Relig Jewish 17%	49% 10% sion Prot 12%	50% 8% Other 16%	33% 15% -<\$50K 16%	7% Income \$50K- \$100K 11%	5% \$100K 11%
Q5. Carl Heastie Favorable Unfavorable Don't know/No opinion	9% Total 12% 16%	10% Dem 16% 12%	Party Rep 12% 18%	53% 5% Ind/ Other 7% 19%	54% 4% Ger M 13% 20%	46% 14% nder F 11% 12%	46% 6% Po Lib 13% 13%	50% 10% Dittical Mod 13% 14%	57% 8% View Conserv 12% 22%	59% 6% Unio Yes 12% 13%	46% 11% n HH No 12% 17%	43% 10% NYC 13% 14%	56% 9% Region Subs 9% 17%	53% 9% 	8% White 11% 16%	Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 15% 12%	13% Latino 15% 16%	18-34 20% 16%	9% Age 35-54 10% 15%	10% 55+ 9% 16%	49% 11% Cath 9% 20%	52% 7% Relig Jewish 17% 20%	49% 10% cion Prot 12% 11%	50% 8% Other 16% 14%	33% 15% -<\$50K 16% 13%	7% Income \$50K- \$100K 11% 13%	5% \$100K 11% 21%
Q5. Carl Heastie Favorable Unfavorable	9% Total 12% 16%	10% Dem 16% 12%	Party Rep 12% 18% 70%	53% 5% Ind/ Other 7% 19%	54% 4% Ger M 13% 20% 67%	46% 14% nder F 11% 12% 76%	46% 6% Lib 13% 13% 74%	50% 10% Dittical Mod 13% 14% 73%	57% 8% View Conserv 12% 22% 66%	59% 6% Unio Yes 12% 13% 75%	46% 11% n HH No 12% 17% 71%	43% 10% NYC 13% 14%	56% 9% Region Subs 9% 17% 75%	53% 9% Upst 13% 17% 70%	8% White 11% 16%	Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 15% 12% 72%	13% Latino 15% 16%	18-34 20% 16%	9% Age 35-54 10% 15% 74%	10% 55+ 9% 16%	49% 11% Cath 9% 20%	52% 7% Relig Jewish 17% 20% 63%	49% 10% iion Prot 12% 11% 77%	50% 8% Other 16% 14%	33% 15% -<\$50K 16% 13%	7% Income \$50K- \$100K 11% 13% 76%	\$100K 11% 21% 68%
Q5. Carl Heastie Favorable Unfavorable Don't know/No opinion	9% Total 12% 16%	10% Dem 16% 12%	Party Rep 12% 18%	53% 5% Ind/ Other 7% 19% 74%	54% 4% Ger M 13% 20%	46% 14% nder F 11% 12% 76%	46% 6% Lib 13% 13% 74%	50% 10% Dittical Mod 13% 14%	57% 8% View Conserv 12% 22% 66%	59% 6% Unio Yes 12% 13%	46% 11% n HH No 12% 17% 71%	43% 10% NYC 13% 14%	56% 9% Region Subs 9% 17%	53% 9% Upst 13% 17% 70%	8% White 11% 16%	Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 15% 12% 72% Ethnicity	13% Latino 15% 16%	18-34 20% 16%	9% Age 35-54 10% 15%	10% 55+ 9% 16%	49% 11% Cath 9% 20%	52% 7% Relig Jewish 17% 20%	49% 10% iion Prot 12% 11% 77%	50% 8% Other 16% 14%	33% 15% -<\$50K 16% 13%	7% Income \$50K- \$100K 11% 13% 76% Income	\$100k 11% 21% 68%
Q5. Carl Heastie Favorable Unfavorable Don't know/No opinion	9% Total 12% 16%	10% Dem 16% 12%	Party Rep 12% 18% 70%	53% 5% Ind/ Other 7% 19%	54% 4% Ger M 13% 20% 67%	46% 14% nder F 11% 12% 76%	46% 6% Lib 13% 13% 74%	50% 10% Dittical Mod 13% 14% 73%	57% 8% View Conserv 12% 22% 66%	59% 6% Unio Yes 12% 13% 75%	46% 11% n HH No 12% 17% 71%	43% 10% NYC 13% 14%	56% 9% Region Subs 9% 17% 75%	53% 9% Upst 13% 17% 70%	8% White 11% 16%	Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 15% 12% 72% Ethnicity Afr Amer	13% Latino 15% 16% 69%	18-34 20% 16% 63%	9% Age 35-54 10% 15% 74%	55+ 9% 16% 75%	49% 11% Cath 9% 20%	Felig Jewish 17% 20% 63%	49% 10% ion Prot 12% 11% 77%	50% 8% Other 16% 14% 70%	33% 15% -<\$50K 16% 13%	7% Income \$50K- \$100K 11% 13% 76% Income \$50K-	\$100k 11% 21% 68%
Q5. Carl Heastie Favorable Unfavorable Don't know/No opinion	70tal 12% 16% 72%	Dem 16% 12% 72%	Party Rep 12% 18% 70%	53% 5% Ind/ Other 7% 19% 74%	54% 4% Ger M 13% 20% 67%	46% 14% nder F 11% 12% 76%	46% 6% Lib 13% 13% 74%	50% 10% Dilitical Mod 13% 14% 73%	57% 8% View Conserv 12% 22% 66%	59% 6% Unio Yes 12% 13% 75%	46% 11% n HH No 12% 17% 71%	NYC 13% 14% 73%	56% 9% Region Subs 9% 17% 75%	53% 9% Upst 13% 17% 70%	8% White 11% 16% 73%	Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 15% 12% 72% Ethnicity Afr Amer	13% Latino 15% 16% 69%	18-34 20% 16% 63%	9% Age 35-54 10% 15% 74% Age	55+ 9% 16% 75%	49% 11% Cath 9% 20% 71%	Felig Jewish 17% 20% 63%	49% 10% Prot 12% 11% 77%	50% 8% Other 16% 14% 70%	33% 15% -<\$50K 16% 13% 71%	7% Income \$50K- \$100K 11% 13% 76% Income \$50K-	\$100K 11% 21% 68%
Q5. Carl Heastie Favorable Unfavorable Don't know/No opinion Q6. John Flanagan	70tal 12% 16% 72%	Dem 16% 12% 72%	Party Rep 12% 18% 70% Party	S3% S% S% S% S% S% S% S%	54% 4% Ger M 13% 20% 67% Ger	46% 14% 14% 11% 12% 76%	46% 6% Lib 13% 74%	50% 10% 10% Mod 13% 14% 73%	57% 8% View Conserv 12% 22% 66% View	59% 6% Unio Yes 12% 13% 75% Unio	46% 11% n HH No 12% 17% 71%	NYC 13% 14% 73%	56% 9% Region Subs 9% 17% 75% Region Subs	9% Upst 13% 70% Upst	White 11% 16% 73%	Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 15% 12% 72% Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black	Latino 15% 16% 69%	18-34 20% 63%	9% Age 35-54 10% 15% 74% Age	55+ 9% 16% 75%	49% 11% Cath 9% 20% 71%	52% 7% Relig Jewish 17% 20% 63%	49% 10% Prot 12% 11% 77%	50% 8% Other 16% 14% 70%	33% 15% 	7% Income \$50K- \$100K 11% 13% 76% Income \$50K-	\$100K 11% 21% 68% \$100K 17%
Q5. Carl Heastie Favorable Unfavorable Don't know/No opinion Q6. John Flanagan Favorable	70tal 12% 72% Total 17%	Dem 16% 12% 72% Dem 16%	Party Rep 12% 70% Party Rep 27%	53% 5% Ind/ Other 7% 19% 74% Ind/ Other 13%	54% 4% Ger M 13% 20% 67% Ger M 20%	46% 14% 14% 11% 12% 76% rder F 11% 15%	46% 6% Lib 13% 74% Lib Lib	50% 10% 10% Mod 13% 14% 73% Dittical Mod 19%	57% 8% View Conserv 12% 22% 66% View Conserv 17%	59% 6% Unio Yes 12% 13% 75% Unio Yes 16%	11% No 12% 17% 71% NHH No 18%	NYC 13% 14% 73% NYC	56% 9% Region Subs 9% 17% 75% Region Subs 23%	9% Upst 13% 70% Upst 19%	White 11% 16% 73% White 18%	Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 15% 12% 72% Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 14%	13% Latino 15% 16% 69% Latino 14%	18-34 20% 63%	9% Age 35-54 10% 15% 74% Age 35-54 20%	55+ 9% 16% 75% 55+ 13%	49% 11% Cath 9% 20% 71% Cath 20%	52% 7% Relig Jewish 17% 20% 63% Relig Jewish 21%	49% 10% 10% Prot 12% 11% 77% Prot 18%	50% 8% Other 16% 14% 70% Other 12%	33% 15% 	7% Income \$50K- \$100K 11% 13% 76% Income \$50K- \$100K 13%	\$1000 11% 21% 68% \$1000 17% 14%
Q5. Carl Heastie Favorable Unfavorable Don't know/No opinion Q6. John Flanagan Favorable Unfavorable Unfavorable	70tal 12% 72% Total 17% 14%	Dem 16% 12% 72% Dem 16% 16%	Party Rep 12% 18% 70% Party 18% 18% 18% 18% 18% 18% 18% 18% 18% 18%	53% 5% 5% Other 7% 19% 74% Ind/ Other 13% 13%	54% 4% Ger M 13% 20% 67% Ger M 20% 14%	46% 14% 14% F 11% 12% 76% 15%	46% 6% Lib 13% 74% Pi Lib 15% 16%	50% 10% 10% Mod 13% 14% 73% Diitical Mod 19% 14%	57% 8% View Conserv 12% 22% 66% View Conserv 17% 14%	59% 6% Unio Yes 12% 13% 75% Unio Yes 16% 11%	11% No 12% 17% 71% No 18% 15%	NYC 13% 14% 73% NYC 12% 14%	56% 9% Region Subs 9% 17% 75% Region Subs 23% 14%	53% 9% Upst 13% 17% 70% Upst 19% 15%	8% White 11% 16% 73% White 18% 14%	Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 15% 12% 72% Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 14% 18%	13% Latino 15% 16% 69% Latino 14% 16%	18-34 20% 63% 18-34 20% 17%	Age 35-54 10% 15% 74% Age 35-54 20% 12%	55+ 9% 16% 75% 55+ 13% 14%	49% 11% Cath 9% 20% 71% Cath 20% 14%	Felig Relig Jewish 17% 20% 63% Relig Jewish 21% 11%	49% 10% 10% Prot 12% 11% 77% Frot 18% 13%	50% 8% Other 16% 14% 70% Other 12% 17%	33% 15%	7% Income \$50K- \$100K 11% 13% 76% Income \$50K- \$100K 13% 13%	\$100K 11% 21% 68%

SNY0515 Crosstabs.xlsx 1 of 7

Q7. Dean Skelos																											
Q7. Dean Skelos			Party	,	Ger	nder	P	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region	1		Ethnicity			Age			Relig	ion			Incom	e
			,	Ind/												Afr Amer			1.8-							\$50K-	Ì
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
Favorable	13%	12%	17%	10%	12%	14%	14%	14%	11%	8%	15%	13%	16%	10%	12%	13%	5%	16%	14%	9%	10%	18%	10%	19%	15%	13%	10%
Unfavorable	40%	37%	46%	41%	44%	37%	44%	38%	43%	44%	40%	35%	41%	45%	46%	25%	25%	26%	41%	49%	44%	44%	33%	41%	29%	34%	56%
Don't know/No opinion	47%	50%	37%	49%	45%	49%	42%	48%	47%	47%	45%	51%	43%	45%	42%	62%	69%	58%	45%	43%	46%	38%	57%	40%	56%	53%	34%
Q8. Preet Bharara																											
Q8. Preet Bharara	1	1	Party	,	Ger	nder	D	olitical	View	Unio	n HH	l	Region			Ethnicity			Age		l	Relig	ion			Incom	•
			raity	Ind/	Gei	luci		Jiiticai	VIEW	Onio			Region			Afr Amer			Age			INCHE	1011	1		\$50K-	<u> </u>
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	м	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
Favorable	18%	23%	16%	15%	23%	14%	22%	17%	18%	17%	18%	20%	18%	17%	18%	16%	13%	15%	16%	20%	16%	22%	12%	24%	15%	16%	24%
Unfavorable	11%	10%	13%	10%	14%	8%	11%	12%	11%	6%	13%	11%	11%	11%	11%	12%	9%	9%	11%	11%	12%	9%	10%	10%	12%	13%	7%
Don't know/No opinion	71%	67%	71%	75%	62%	78%	68%	71%	71%	77%	69%	69%	71%	72%	71%	72%	78%	76%	72%	69%	71%	69%	78%	65%	74%	70%	68%
20.1 (o) o	7 2 7 3	0.70	7 2 7 0	7370	02/0	7070	0070	, 1,0	7 1 70	,0	0370	0370	7 170	7270	7.270	7270	7070	70,0	7270	0370	7 1 7 0	0370	7070	0370	7 170	7070	0070
Q9. How would you rate the job that And	ew Cuo	mo is d	oing as	Govern	or? W	ould yo	u rate	it exce	llent, good	, fair, c	r poor	?		1		ı	1		l	ı				l			1
			Party		Ger	nder	P	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region	1		Ethnicity			Age			Relig	ion			Incom	e
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
Excellent	9%	12%	6%	5%	10%	9%	11%	9%	7%	6%	11%	12%	9%	6%	8%	15%	6%	7%	9%	10%	8%	15%	7%	10%	12%	8%	8%
Good	32%	38%	22%	29%	33%	31%	35%	37%	19%	29%	32%	41%	27%	24%	29%	36%	40%	31%	25%	37%	30%	39%	34%	30%	34%	34%	27%
Fair	36%	34%	33%	44%	33%	39%	38%	35%	36%	33%	36%	34%	40%	36%	36%	38%	41%	44%	39%	29%	35%	25%	35%	42%	34%	38%	35%
Poor	23%	15%	39%	21%	24%	21%	15%	18%	38%	33%	20%	12%	24%	34%	27%	11%	13%	19%	26%	23%	27%	21%	24%	17%	18%	21%	30%
Don't know/No opinion	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%
O10 When this line shout some house		-646-	falland	 ! -	!. 4	h	-4 :					1:-1-			011		ICEC DO	TATED1						l			
Q10. When thinking about some key issue	s, which	or the	Party			ne mo: ider		olitical		Unio		iegisia			on in Air	Ethnicity	ICES RO	IAIEUJ	A ~ ~		1	Relig	ion			Incom	
		1	raity	Ind/	Gei	luei	F	Ullicai	view	UIIIU	ппп		Region	! 	-	Afr Amer		-	Age	l		Kelig	ion	ı		\$50K-	1
	Total	Dom	Bon	1		-	ı ile	Mad	Comsomi	Voc	No	NVC	Cuba	Linet	\A/bito		Latina	10 24	25 54	55+	Coth	lovvich	Drot	Other	-¢EOV	\$100K	\$100K+
Jobs and the economy	Total 30%	Dem 31%	Rep 31%	Other 28%	M 31%	F 29%	Lib 26%	Mod 32%	Conserv 29%	Yes 25%	No 31%	NYC 34%	Subs 24%	Upst 29%	White 28%	40%	Latino 34%	32%	30%	29%	Cath 26%	Jewish 32%	Prot 34%	32%	<\$50K 33%	30%	30%
Corruption and ethics	15%	10%	17%	22%	19%	12%	12%	13%	23%	13%	16%	12%	16%	18%	17%	8%	19%	11%	12%	21%	16%	16%	16%	14%	13%	16%	14%
Education	27%	31%	25%	21%	25%	29%	39%	24%	20%	37%	23%	30%	29%	22%	26%	30%	30%	35%	32%	18%	27%	29%	25%	31%	20%	25%	35%
Health care	12%	13%	11%	13%	11%	13%	13%	14%	7%	9%	13%	13%	9%	13%	12%	14%	8%	16%	8%	14%	10%	13%	16%	11%	20%	11%	6%
Taxes	13%	11%	16%	13%	12%	14%	7%	12%	20%	14%	13%	9%	19%	14%	15%	4%	6%	3%	16%	15%	19%	9%	8%	6%	10%	14%	12%
Vol: Other	2%	2%	0%	3%	1%	2%	1%	3%	0%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	3%	0%	3%	2%	1%	19%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%
Don't know/Refused	1%	2%	0%	0%	2%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	2%	2%	1%	0%
Don't know/ keruseu	1/0	2/0	076	070	2/0	1/0	2/0	1/0	0/8	1/0	2/0	1/0	1/0	2/0	1/0	1/0	2/0	1/0	1/0	2/0	1/0	0%	0/0	2/0	2/0	1/0	076
Q11. How serious a problem would you sa	y corrup	tion is	in state	e goveri	ment	in Alba	ny? Wo	ould yo	u say it's v	ery sei	ious, s	omew	hat seri	ious, no	ot very s	erious or n	ot at all	serious	?	ı		ı		I			
		<u> </u>	Party		Ger	nder	P	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region)		Ethnicity			Age			Relig	ion			Incom	e
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino		35-54		Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
Very serious	50%	46%	50%	55%	57%	45%	45%	51%	53%	53%	49%	51%	50%	50%	50%	58%	54%	41%	49%	56%	52%	57%	47%	48%	49%	45%	53%
Somewhat serious	40%	45%	40%	34%	34%	44%	46%	38%	37%	41%	40%	39%	40%	41%	42%	29%	31%	38%	43%	38%	38%	35%	42%	43%	41%	43%	40%
Not very serious	7%	7%	6%	6%	6%	7%	7%	8%	5%	5%	7%	6%	7%	7%	6%	5%	10%	15%	4%	4%	7%	7%	6%	6%	5%	9%	3%
Not at all serious	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	0%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%	3%	3%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	2%	2%	1%	2%
Don't know/No opinion	2%	1%	2%	4%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	0%	3%	3%	2%	1%	2%	5%	2%	3%	3%	1%	2%	1%	4%	2%	3%	2%	1%
		1	1	1	1	1																					

SNY0515 Crosstabs.xlsx 2 of 7

Q12. How serious a problem would you	ı sav corrur	ntion is	amone	state l	egislato	rs fron	n vour	area? V	Nould you	sav it's	s verv s	erious	some	what se	erious n	ot verv ser	ious or n	nt at a	ll serio	ıs?							
Q12. How serious a problem would you	a say con up	/11011 13	Party			nder		olitical			n HH	Cilous	Region		11003, 11	Ethnicity	1003 01 1	ot at a	Age	45:		Relig	ion			Incom	
			laity	Ind/	GCI	luci		l	View	Oillo	· · · · · ·		Kegion			Afr Amer			Age			Iteli				\$50K-	Ť
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	м	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White		Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K
Very serious	21%	19%	21%	20%	22%	19%	19%	22%	17%	20%	21%	24%	21%	16%	20%	28%	25%	19%	19%	23%	21%	21%	20%	21%	24%	21%	16%
Somewhat serious	41%	40%	40%	46%	41%	41%	44%	40%	45%	37%	43%	42%	41%	40%	40%	47%	38%	46%	42%	37%	43%	43%	39%	43%	44%	42%	40%
Not very serious	25%	25%	25%	27%	23%	27%	25%	27%	25%	26%	25%	22%	25%	30%	28%	14%	25%	26%	27%	24%	23%	26%	27%	25%	19%	26%	32%
Not at all serious	9%	11%	10%	5%	9%	8%	9%	7%	10%	12%	7%	7%	8%	11%	9%	4%	9%	5%	8%	11%	10%	6%	9%	7%	9%	8%	8%
Don't know/No opinion	4%	5%	3%	2%	5%	4%	3%	4%	3%	5%	4%	5%	5%	3%	3%	7%	3%	4%	3%	6%	3%	5%	5%	4%	5%	2%	3%
				-,-		.,.		.,,-			.,,-					.,,-		.,.									
Q13. Over the last four years, would yo	u sav there	is moi	re corru	iption ii	n state	govern	ment t	han the	ere was pr	evious	v. less	corrup	tion in	state e	overnm	ent than th	iere was	previo	uslv. or	about	the sa	me level	of cor	uption	in state	govern	ıment a
there was previously?	a say mere					B010			o. o		.,,				,000			p . 01.0	,,					шрион	51410	80101	
			Party	,	Ger	nder	Р	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region	1		Ethnicity			Age			Relig	ion			Incom	ie
			1	Ind/												Afr Amer			1.6-							\$50K-	Ť
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	м	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K
More corruption	33%	27%	42%	34%	33%	33%	29%	29%	41%	32%	33%	30%	28%	39%	35%	33%	36%	31%	33%	33%	40%	33%	33%	25%	39%	29%	31%
Less corruption	8%	9%	6%	5%	9%	6%	6%	9%	6%	6%	8%	9%	6%	8%	6%	7%	9%	11%	6%	6%	10%	3%	6%	5%	10%	6%	7%
Same level of corruption	57%	62%	51%	61%	56%	59%	62%	62%	51%	60%	57%	58%	65%	51%	58%	60%	54%	57%	58%	59%	48%	61%	61%	67%	49%	60%	62%
Don't know/No opinion	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	0%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	4%	0%	3%	1%	4%	0%
zon e knowyne opinion	270	370	1/0	170	2/0	270	370	170	270	370	270	370	1/0	270	270	070	270	1/0	370	270	270	470	070	370	170	470	070
Q14. Overall, how effective do you thin	k Governo	r Cuom	o has b	een in	reducir	g corri	intion i	n state	governme	ent? Ha	s he b	en ve	v effec	tive. so	mewha	t effective.	not ver	, effect	ive or r	not at a	ll effec	tive?	<u> </u>	ı		l .	
Q14. Overall, now enceave do you am	IK GOVERNO	Cuoiii	Party			nder	·	olitical		Unio			Region		1	Ethnicity	, mot ver		Age	.oc ac c		Relig	ion			Incom	
			laity	Ind/	GCI	luci		l	View	Oillo	T		Kegion			Afr Amer			Age			ITCII				\$50K-	Ť
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K
Very effective	5%	7%	3%	2%	5%	6%	4%	4%	7%	2%	6%	8%	5%	4%	4%	9%	4%	3%	4%	7%	3%	12%	5%	4%	9%	4%	3%
Somewhat effective	43%	48%	33%	43%	39%	46%	44%	51%	30%	39%	45%	48%	43%	37%	38%	62%	47%	46%	43%	42%	49%	26%	47%	37%	50%	46%	33%
Not very effective	28%	28%	28%	31%	27%	29%	34%	26%	29%	28%	27%	29%	24%	30%	30%	21%	35%	30%	26%	30%	23%	31%	32%	31%	29%	24%	34%
Not at all effective	21%	15%	34%	21%	26%	17%	16%	17%	32%	28%	19%	11%	26%	28%	26%	6%	12%	20%	23%	20%	23%	29%	13%	24%	11%	22%	28%
Don't know/No opinion	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	4%	2%	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	3%	2%
Q15. Do you think the recent indictme	nts of forme	er Asse	mbly S	peaker :	Sheldo	n Silver	, a Den	nocrat,	and form	er Sena	te Maj	ority L	eader D	Dean Sk	elos, a I	Republican	, will or v	will not	reduce	corru	ption i	n state go	vernn	nent?			
			Party	•	Ger	nder	Р	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region)		Ethnicity			Age			Reli	gion			Incom	e
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K
Will	35%	38%	35%	34%	39%	31%	41%	33%	34%	34%	35%	36%	42%	28%	34%	42%	30%	29%	36%	38%	34%	34%	34%	37%	30%	39%	38%
Will not	55%	55%	58%	52%	53%	57%	50%	59%	57%	62%	53%	54%	50%	61%	57%	50%	60%	53%	59%	53%	56%	59%	56%	53%	54%	52%	59%
Don't know/No opinion	10%	7%	7%	14%	8%	12%	9%	9%	9%	3%	12%	10%	8%	11%	9%	9%	10%	17%	6%	9%	10%	7%	10%	10%	16%	9%	3%
I'm going to read you four ideas that h	ave been pr	opose	d to he	lp redu	ce corru	ption	in state	gover	nment and	l for ea	ch one	I'd like	for yo	u to te	ll me wl	hether you	think th	e idea v	would o	r wou	d not l	e effecti	ve in s	ignifica	ntly redu	ucing	
corruption in state government. [Q16-	Q19 ROTAT	ED]																									
Q16. Making the job of state legislator	full time, w	ith all	outside	emplo	yment	banned	i.																				
			Party	1	Ger	nder	P	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region)		Ethnicity			Age			Reli	gion			Incom	e
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K
Would	57%	61%	62%	48%	60%	55%	62%	54%	58%	65%	55%	59%	57%	55%	57%	53%	54%	57%	59%	57%	60%	62%	48%	62%	55%	62%	58%
Would not	38%	34%	32%	46%	36%	39%	34%	41%	38%	33%	39%	36%	38%	39%	38%	38%	43%	39%	37%	37%	36%	36%	44%	33%	40%	34%	38%
Don't know/No opinion	5%	4%	5%	6%	4%	6%	4%	6%	5%	2%	6%	5%	5%	6%	5%	10%	3%	4%	5%	6%	4%	3%	8%	5%	5%	5%	4%

SNY0515 Crosstabs.xlsx 3 of 7

Q17. Dramatically reducing the amount of	money	that ca	n be co Party			oolitica nder		aigns. olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age		l	Relig	ion			Income	<u> </u>
				Ind/	00.			Ontical		Oillo			I CE IOII			Afr Amer			Age			INCHE	,1011			\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black		18-34	35-54		Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K
Would	78%	77%	77%	80%	75%	80%	85%	75%	76%	83%	76%	75%	78%	80%	80%	70%	79%	85%	76%	75%	80%	77%	75%	78%	71%	82%	83%
Would not	21%	22%	22%	19%	24%	19%	15%	24%	23%	17%	23%	24%	22%	19%	20%	28%	19%	15%	24%	23%	20%	21%	23%	21%	28%	17%	17%
Don't know/No opinion	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	0%
Q18. Increasing disclosure of elected officia	ls' fina	nces. ir	ncludin	g all sou	urces o	f incom	ie.																				
•			Party	,	Ge	nder	Р	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	ion			Income	2
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100k
Would	79%	78%	77%	85%	83%	75%	85%	77%	78%	80%	79%	79%	83%	76%	81%	69%	80%	78%	79%	80%	79%	84%	77%	81%	69%	83%	84%
Would not	20%	21%	20%	13%	15%	23%	14%	22%	21%	19%	19%	19%	16%	23%	17%	29%	18%	21%	20%	18%	20%	15%	22%	16%	28%	17%	15%
Don't know/No opinion	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	3%	0%	1%
•																											
Q19. Creating a truly independent ethics w	atchdog	g to mo	onitor a	II state	goverr	nment	elected	official	ls.							L.											
			Party	,	Ge	nder	P	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	ion			Income	•
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K
Would	71%	73%	68%	73%	73%	69%	79%	70%	68%	76%	70%	74%	68%	70%	72%	74%	73%	67%	72%	73%	66%	82%	77%	70%	74%	71%	71%
Would not	26%	24%	29%	26%	25%	28%	20%	27%	29%	21%	28%	23%	31%	27%	25%	24%	25%	32%	26%	23%	32%	16%	20%	27%	23%	27%	28%
Don't know/No opinion	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	1%	4%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	4%	2%	1%
Q20. Which one of the four ideas do you th	ink wo	uld be	the MC	OST effe	ctive ir	n signifi	cantly	reducin	g corrupti	on in s	tate go	vernm	ent: [Cl	HOICES	ROTATI	ED]											
			Party	,	Ge	nder	P	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	ion			Income	•
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K
Making the job of state legislator full time,	12%	14%															00/										
					120/	120/	110/	150/	100/	00/	120/	120/	120/	110/	1 00/	1 0 0 /		110/	100/	1 / 0/	120/	1.40/	1 20/	120/	160/	00/	120/
with all outside employment banned	12/0	14%	11%	10%	12%	13%	11%	15%	10%	9%	13%	13%	13%	11%	10%	18%	8%	11%	10%	14%	12%	14%	12%	12%	16%	9%	12%
with all outside employment banned Dramatically reducing the amount of	12/0	14%	11%	10%	12%	13%	11%	15%	10%	9%	13%	13%	13%	11%	10%	18%	8%	11%	10%	14%	12%	14%	12%	12%	16%	9%	12%
• •	32%	34%		29%	30%	13% 32%	11% 38%	15% 29%	10% 29%	9% 33%	13% 30%	13% 30%	13% 33%	32%	32%	18%	37%	11%	10% 29%	26%	12% 34%	14% 22%	12% 23%	12% 38%	16% 29%	9% 31%	
Dramatically reducing the amount of																											
Dramatically reducing the amount of money that can be contributed to political	32%	34%	29%	29%	30%	32%	38%	29%	29%	33%	30%	30%	33%	32%	32%	17%	37%	44%	29%	26%	34%	22%	23%	38%	29%	31%	35%
Dramatically reducing the amount of money that can be contributed to political campaigns																											35% 27%
Dramatically reducing the amount of money that can be contributed to political campaigns Increasing disclosure of elected officials'	32%	34%	29%	29%	30%	32%	38%	29%	29%	33%	30%	30%	33%	32%	32%	17%	37%	44%	29%	26%	34%	22%	23%	38%	29%	31%	35%
Dramatically reducing the amount of money that can be contributed to political campaigns Increasing disclosure of elected officials' finances, including all sources of income	32%	34%	29%	29%	30%	32%	38%	29%	29%	33%	30%	30%	33%	32%	32%	17%	37%	44%	29%	26%	34%	22%	23%	38%	29%	31%	
Dramatically reducing the amount of money that can be contributed to political campaigns Increasing disclosure of elected officials' finances, including all sources of income Creating a truly independent ethics	32%	34%	29%	29%	30%	32%	38%	29%	29%	33%	30%	30%	33%	32%	32%	17% 23%	37%	44%	29%	26%	34%	22%	23%	38%	29%	31%	35%
Dramatically reducing the amount of money that can be contributed to political campaigns Increasing disclosure of elected officials' finances, including all sources of income Creating a truly independent ethics watchdog to monitor all state government	32%	34%	29%	29%	30%	32%	38%	29%	29%	33%	30%	30%	33%	32%	32%	17% 23%	37%	44%	29%	26%	34%	22%	23%	38%	29%	31%	35%
Dramatically reducing the amount of money that can be contributed to political campaigns Increasing disclosure of elected officials' finances, including all sources of income Creating a truly independent ethics watchdog to monitor all state government elected officials	32% 25% 26%	34% 25% 22%	29% 31% 24%	29% 22% 33%	30% 26% 27%	32% 24% 25%	38% 26% 22%	29% 22% 30%	29% 27% 26%	33% 27% 27%	30% 24% 26%	30% 27% 25%	33% 24% 27%	32% 22% 25%	32% 26% 26%	17% 23% 35%	37% 22% 31%	20% 23%	29% 30% 27%	26% 23% 27%	34% 20% 27%	22% 34% 27%	23% 32% 29%	38% 22% 22%	29% 23% 26%	31% 23% 33%	35% 27% 19%
Dramatically reducing the amount of money that can be contributed to political campaigns Increasing disclosure of elected officials' finances, including all sources of income Creating a truly independent ethics watchdog to monitor all state government elected officials Vol: Other	32% 25% 26% 2%	34% 25% 22% 1%	29% 31% 24% 1%	29% 22% 33% 3%	30% 26% 27% 2%	32% 24% 25% 1%	38% 26% 22% 0%	29% 22% 30%	29% 27% 26% 4%	33% 27% 27% 2%	30% 24% 26% 1%	30% 27% 25% 0%	33% 24% 27%	32% 22% 25% 3%	32% 26% 26% 2%	17% 23% 35%	37% 22% 31%	20% 23% 0%	29% 30% 27%	26% 23% 27% 3%	34% 20% 27% 3%	22% 34% 27%	23% 32% 29% 1%	38% 22% 22%	29% 23% 26% 1%	31% 23% 33% 2%	35% 27% 19% 2%
Dramatically reducing the amount of money that can be contributed to political campaigns Increasing disclosure of elected officials' finances, including all sources of income Creating a truly independent ethics watchdog to monitor all state government elected officials Vol: Other Vol: None of the above	32% 25% 26% 2% 2%	34% 25% 22% 1% 1%	29% 31% 24% 1% 2%	29% 22% 33% 3% 1%	30% 26% 27% 2% 1%	32% 24% 25% 1% 2%	38% 26% 22% 0% 1%	29% 22% 30% 1% 1%	29% 27% 26% 4% 2%	33% 27% 27% 2% 0%	30% 24% 26% 1% 2%	30% 27% 25% 0% 1%	33% 24% 27% 1% 2%	32% 22% 25% 3% 2%	32% 26% 26% 2% 1%	17% 23% 35% 0% 3%	37% 22% 31% 0% 2%	20% 23% 0% 0%	29% 30% 27% 1% 2%	26% 23% 27% 3% 2%	34% 20% 27% 3% 2%	22% 34% 27% 0% 0%	23% 32% 29% 1% 1%	38% 22% 22% 1% 1%	29% 23% 26% 1% 3%	31% 23% 33% 2% 1%	35% 27% 19% 2% 1%
Dramatically reducing the amount of money that can be contributed to political campaigns Increasing disclosure of elected officials' finances, including all sources of income Creating a truly independent ethics watchdog to monitor all state government elected officials Vol: Other Vol: None of the above	32% 25% 26% 2% 2% 3%	34% 25% 22% 1% 1% 3%	29% 31% 24% 1% 2% 2%	29% 22% 33% 3% 1% 1%	30% 26% 27% 2% 1% 3%	32% 24% 25% 1% 2% 2%	38% 26% 22% 0% 1% 2%	29% 22% 30% 1% 1% 3%	29% 27% 26% 4% 2% 2%	27% 27% 27% 2% 0% 2%	30% 24% 26% 1% 2% 3%	30% 27% 25% 0% 1% 2%	33% 24% 27% 1% 2% 1%	32% 22% 25% 3% 2% 4%	32% 26% 26% 2% 1% 3%	17% 23% 35% 0% 3% 4%	37% 22% 31% 0% 2% 0%	20% 23% 0% 0% 1%	29% 30% 27% 1% 2% 2%	26% 23% 27% 3% 2% 4%	34% 20% 27% 3% 2% 2%	22% 34% 27% 0% 0% 3%	23% 32% 29% 1% 1% 2%	38% 22% 22% 1% 1% 2%	29% 23% 26% 1% 3% 3%	31% 23% 33% 2% 1% 1%	35% 27% 19% 2% 1% 2%
Dramatically reducing the amount of money that can be contributed to political campaigns Increasing disclosure of elected officials' finances, including all sources of income Creating a truly independent ethics watchdog to monitor all state government elected officials Vol: Other Vol: None of the above Don't know/No opinion	32% 25% 26% 2% 2% 3%	34% 25% 22% 1% 1% 3%	29% 31% 24% 1% 2% 2%	29% 22% 33% 3% 1% 1% co re-elec	30% 26% 27% 2% 1% 3% ect you	32% 24% 25% 1% 2% 2%	38% 26% 22% 0% 1% 2%	29% 22% 30% 1% 1% 3%	29% 27% 26% 4% 2% 2% tt year, les	27% 27% 27% 2% 0% 2%	30% 24% 26% 1% 2% 3% to re-e	30% 27% 25% 0% 1% 2%	33% 24% 27% 1% 2% 1%	32% 22% 25% 3% 2% 4%	32% 26% 26% 2% 1% 3%	17% 23% 35% 0% 3% 4%	37% 22% 31% 0% 2% 0%	20% 23% 0% 0% 1%	29% 30% 27% 1% 2% 2%	26% 23% 27% 3% 2% 4%	34% 20% 27% 3% 2% 2%	22% 34% 27% 0% 0% 3%	23% 32% 29% 1% 1% 2%	38% 22% 22% 1% 1% 2%	29% 23% 26% 1% 3% 3%	31% 23% 33% 2% 1% 1%	35% 27% 19% 2% 1% 2% ar?
Dramatically reducing the amount of money that can be contributed to political campaigns Increasing disclosure of elected officials' finances, including all sources of income Creating a truly independent ethics watchdog to monitor all state government elected officials Vol: Other Vol: None of the above Don't know/No opinion	32% 25% 26% 2% 2% 3%	34% 25% 22% 1% 1% 3%	29% 31% 24% 1% 2% 2%	29% 22% 33% 3% 1% 1% co re-elec	30% 26% 27% 2% 1% 3% ect you	32% 24% 25% 1% 2% 2% r state	38% 26% 22% 0% 1% 2%	29% 22% 30% 1% 1% 3% ors nex	29% 27% 26% 4% 2% 2% tt year, les	33% 27% 27% 2% 0% 2% s likely	30% 24% 26% 1% 2% 3% to re-e	30% 27% 25% 0% 1% 2%	33% 24% 27% 1% 2% 1% our state	32% 22% 25% 3% 2% 4%	32% 26% 26% 2% 1% 3%	17% 23% 35% 0% 3% 4% ext year, or	37% 22% 31% 0% 2% 0%	20% 23% 0% 0% 1%	29% 30% 27% 1% 2% 2%	26% 23% 27% 3% 2% 4%	34% 20% 27% 3% 2% 2%	22% 34% 27% 0% 0% 3%	23% 32% 29% 1% 1% 2%	38% 22% 22% 1% 1% 2%	29% 23% 26% 1% 3% 3%	31% 23% 33% 2% 1% 1% next year	35% 27% 19% 2% 1% 2% ar?
Dramatically reducing the amount of money that can be contributed to political campaigns Increasing disclosure of elected officials' finances, including all sources of income Creating a truly independent ethics watchdog to monitor all state government elected officials Vol: Other Vol: None of the above Don't know/No opinion	32% 25% 26% 2% 2% 3%	34% 25% 22% 1% 1% 3%	29% 31% 24% 1% 2% 2% likely t	29% 22% 33% 3% 1% 1% to re-ele	30% 26% 27% 2% 1% 3% ect you Ge	32% 24% 25% 1% 2% 2% r state	38% 26% 22% 0% 1% 2%	29% 22% 30% 1% 1% 3% ors nex	29% 27% 26% 4% 2% 2% tt year, les	33% 27% 27% 2% 0% 2% s likely	30% 24% 26% 1% 2% 3% to re-e	30% 27% 25% 0% 1% 2%	33% 24% 27% 1% 2% 1% our state	32% 22% 25% 3% 2% 4%	32% 26% 26% 2% 1% 3%	17% 23% 35% 0% 3% 4% ext year, or Ethnicity	37% 22% 31% 0% 2% 0% will the	20% 23% 0% 0% 1% scanda	29% 30% 27% 1% 2% 2%	26% 23% 27% 3% 2% 4% no eff	34% 20% 27% 3% 2% 2%	22% 34% 27% 0% 0% 3%	23% 32% 29% 1% 1% 2%	38% 22% 22% 1% 1% 2%	29% 23% 26% 1% 3% 3% slators i	31% 23% 33% 2% 1% 1% next yea	35% 27% 19% 2% 1% 2% er?
Dramatically reducing the amount of money that can be contributed to political campaigns Increasing disclosure of elected officials' finances, including all sources of income Creating a truly independent ethics watchdog to monitor all state government elected officials Vol: Other Vol: None of the above Don't know/No opinion	32% 25% 26% 2% 2% 3%	34% 25% 22% 1% 1% 3% more	29% 31% 24% 1% 2% 2% likely t Party Rep	29% 22% 33% 3% 1% 1% co re-ele	30% 26% 27% 2% 1% 3% ect you Ge	32% 24% 25% 1% 2% 2% r state	38% 26% 22% 0% 1% 2% legislat	29% 22% 30% 1% 1% 3% ors nexolitical	29% 27% 26% 4% 2% 2% ct year, les	33% 27% 27% 2% 0% 2% s likely Unio	30% 24% 26% 1% 2% 3% to re-e	30% 27% 25% 0% 1% 2%	33% 24% 27% 1% 2% 1% eur stat Region	32% 22% 25% 3% 2% 4% e legisl	32% 26% 26% 2% 1% 3% ators ne	17% 23% 35% 0% 3% 4% ext year, or Ethnicity Afr Amer	37% 22% 31% 0% 2% 0% will the	20% 23% 0% 0% 1% scanda	29% 30% 27% 1% 2% 2% lis have	26% 23% 27% 3% 2% 4% no eff	34% 20% 27% 3% 2% 2% ect on	22% 34% 27% 0% 0% 3% your votes Relig	23% 32% 29% 1% 1% 2% e for st	38% 22% 22% 1% 1% 2% ate legi	29% 23% 26% 1% 3% 3% slators i	31% 23% 33% 2% 1% 1% next yea	35% 27% 19% 2% 1% 2% er?
Dramatically reducing the amount of money that can be contributed to political campaigns Increasing disclosure of elected officials' finances, including all sources of income Creating a truly independent ethics watchdog to monitor all state government elected officials Vol: Other Vol: None of the above Don't know/No opinion Q21. Will the recent corruption scandals m	32% 25% 26% 2% 2% 3% Total	34% 25% 22% 1% 1% 3% more	29% 31% 24% 1% 2% 2% likely t Party Rep	29% 22% 33% 3% 1% 1% Ind/ Other	30% 26% 27% 2% 1% 3% ect you Ge	32% 24% 25% 1% 2% 2% r state	38% 26% 22% 0% 1% 2% legislat P	29% 22% 30% 1% 1% 3% ors nexolitical Mod	29% 27% 26% 4% 2% 2% tyear, les View Conserv	33% 27% 27% 2% 0% 2% Unio	30% 24% 26% 1% 2% 3% to re-e	30% 27% 25% 0% 1% 2% NYC	33% 24% 27% 1% 2% 1% Ever state Region Subs	32% 22% 25% 3% 2% 4% e legisl	32% 26% 26% 2% 1% 3% ators ne	17% 23% 35% 0% 3% 4% ext year, or Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black	37% 22% 31% 0% 2% 0% will the	44% 20% 23% 0% 0% 1% scanda	29% 30% 27% 1% 2% 2% lls have Age	26% 23% 27% 3% 2% 4% no effection	34% 20% 27% 3% 2% 2% ect on	22% 34% 27% 0% 0% 3% your vote Relig	23% 32% 29% 1% 1% 2% e for st	38% 22% 22% 1% 1% 2% ate legi	29% 23% 26% 1% 3% 3% slators r	31% 23% 33% 2% 1% 1% next yea Income \$50K-\$100K	35% 27% 19% 2% 1% 2% ir? 2 \$100K
Dramatically reducing the amount of money that can be contributed to political campaigns Increasing disclosure of elected officials' finances, including all sources of income Creating a truly independent ethics watchdog to monitor all state government elected officials Vol: Other Vol: None of the above Don't know/No opinion Q21. Will the recent corruption scandals m	32% 25% 26% 2% 2% 3% Total 11%	34% 25% 22% 1% 1% 3% Immore Dem 12%	29% 31% 24% 1% 2% 2% likely t Party Rep 12%	29% 22% 33% 3% 1% 1% to re-election Ind/ Other 9%	30% 26% 27% 2% 1% 3% ect you Ge M 8%	32% 24% 25% 1% 2% 2% r state nder F 14%	38% 26% 22% 0% 1% 2% legislat P	29% 22% 30% 1% 1% 3% ors nexolitical Mod 10%	29% 27% 26% 4% 2% 2% ct year, les View Conserv 11%	33% 27% 27% 2% 0% 2% Unio Yes 11%	30% 24% 26% 1% 2% 3% to re-e n HH No 11%	30% 27% 25% 0% 1% 2% NYC 14%	33% 24% 27% 1% 2% 1% Region Subs 9%	32% 22% 25% 3% 2% 4% e legisl Upst 10%	32% 26% 26% 2% 1% 3% ators ne	17% 23% 35% 0% 3% 4% ext year, or Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 17%	37% 22% 31% 0% 2% 0% will the Latino 19%	44% 20% 23% 0% 0% 1% scanda 18-34 13%	29% 30% 27% 1% 2% 2% Is have Age 35-54 10%	26% 23% 27% 3% 2% 4% no effective 55+ 12%	34% 20% 27% 3% 2% 2% ect on Cath 14%	22% 34% 27% 0% 0% 3% your vote Relig Jewish 2%	23% 32% 29% 1% 1% 2% e for striion Prot 12%	38% 22% 22% 1% 1% 2% ate legi Other 10%	29% 23% 26% 1% 3% 3% slators r <\$50K 15%	31% 23% 33% 2% 1% 1% Income \$50K- \$100K	35% 27% 19% 2% 1% 2% 1r? 2 \$100K
Dramatically reducing the amount of money that can be contributed to political campaigns Increasing disclosure of elected officials' finances, including all sources of income Creating a truly independent ethics watchdog to monitor all state government elected officials Vol: Other Vol: None of the above Don't know/No opinion Q21. Will the recent corruption scandals m More likely Less likely	32% 25% 26% 2% 2% 3% Total 11% 37%	34% 25% 22% 1% 1% 3% more Dem 12% 33%	29% 31% 24% 1% 2% 2% likely t Party Rep 12% 44%	29% 22% 33% 3% 1% 1% co re-elector Ind/ Other 9% 39%	30% 26% 27% 2% 1% 3% ect you Ge M 8% 40%	32% 24% 25% 1% 2% 2% r state nder F 14% 33%	38% 26% 22% 0% 1% 2% legislat P Lib 13% 34%	29% 22% 30% 1% 1% 3% ors nexolitical Mod 10% 31%	29% 27% 26% 4% 2% 2% tt year, les View Conserv 11% 46%	33% 27% 27% 2% 0% 2% Unio Yes 11% 38%	30% 24% 26% 1% 2% 3% to re-e n HH No 11% 36%	30% 27% 25% 0% 1% 2% elect yc 14% 36%	33% 24% 27% 1% 2% 1% sur stat Region Subs 9% 31%	32% 22% 25% 3% 2% 4% e legisl Upst 10% 41%	32% 26% 26% 1% 3% ators ne	17% 23% 35% 0% 3% 4% ext year, or Ethnicity Afr Amer /Black 17% 36%	37% 22% 31% 0% 2% 0% will the Latino 19% 37%	20% 23% 0% 0% 1% scanda 18-34 13% 38%	29% 30% 27% 1% 2% 2% Is have Age 35-54 10% 34%	26% 23% 27% 3% 2% 4% no eff. 55+ 12% 39%	34% 20% 27% 3% 2% 2% ect on 14% 40%	22% 34% 27% 0% 0% 3% your vote Relig Jewish 2% 37%	23% 32% 29% 1% 1% 2% e for st ion Prot 12% 33%	38% 22% 1% 1% 2% ate legi Other 10% 36%	29% 23% 26% 1% 3% 3% slators r <\$50K 15% 38%	31% 23% 33% 2% 1% 1% next yez Income \$50K-\$100K 10% 35%	35% 27% 19% 2% 1% 2% ar? e \$100K 7% 39%

SNY0515 Crosstabs.xlsx 4 of 7

		Party Gender						olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region	1		Ethnicity			Age			Relig	gion			Income	e
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K
More likely to vote next year in order to be	72%	720/	81%	69%	71%	73%	720/	73%	77%	84%	69%	740/	72%	70%	75%	65%	76%	55%	77%	78%	75%	80%	72%	68%	68%	74%	75%
part of the solution	72%	72%	81%	69%	/1%	/3%	72%	/3%	77%	84%	69%	74%	12%	70%	75%	65%	76%	55%	11%	78%	/5%	80%	72%	68%	68%	74%	/5%
Less likely to vote next year since state	16%	15%	9%	20%	15%	160/	14%	16%	14%	8%	18%	15%	14%	17%	13%	26%	21%	31%	13%	100/	15%	4%	100/	17%	24%	13%	13%
government is hopelessly corrupt	16%	15%	9%	20%	15%	16%	14%	16%	14%	8%	18%	15%	14%	1/%	13%	26%	21%	31%	13%	10%	15%	4%	18%	1/%	24%	13%	13%
No difference	10%	10%	9%	10%	12%	9%	13%	9%	9%	9%	11%	9%	12%	11%	11%	7%	1%	12%	9%	10%	7%	14%	9%	13%	5%	12%	12%
Don't know/No opinion	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	0%
•																											

Q23. Switching gears, a new plan was proposed to provide a tax credit for families that earn less than 60 thousand dollars a year and send their children to non-public schools. The plan also includes a state tax credit for donations to scholarship funds that help low- and middle-income students attend private or religious schools, as well as a credit for donations to public schools. [NEXT TWO SENTENCES ROTATED] Supporters - including Governor Cuomo and Cardinal Dolan - say the plan brings more resources to schools and offers parents a choice for education alternatives. Opponents - including the teachers' unions - say the plan diverts money away from public schools, while giving tax breaks to the wealthy. Overall, do you support or oppose this proposed tax credit plan?

you support or oppose this proposed tax c	reuit pie	. plati:																									
			Party Gender					olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	gion			Income	•
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	M	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
Support	44%	45%	46%	41%	44%	44%	39%	44%	49%	31%	49%	54%	43%	34%	40%	55%	53%	44%	43%	45%	52%	53%	42%	33%	53%	46%	35%
Oppose	49%	49%	50%	51%	50%	49%	55%	50%	46%	63%	45%	40%	52%	58%	55%	32%	37%	48%	51%	48%	43%	42%	50%	59%	40%	46%	61%
Don't know/No opinion	7%	7%	5%	8%	5%	8%	6%	7%	5%	6%	6%	6%	5%	9%	5%	13%	10%	8%	6%	7%	5%	5%	8%	8%	7%	8%	4%
																								,			

Q24. In general, do you support or oppose the New York Dream Act, which would allow the children of undocumented immigrants to receive financial aid for higher education?

			Party					olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	ion			Income	ڌ
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	1
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
Support	47%	62%	20%	44%	47%	48%	76%	41%	25%	46%	47%	65%	37%	37%	42%	66%	67%	67%	44%	38%	40%	56%	41%	66%	51%	48%	47%
Oppose	50%	35%	77%	54%	49%	50%	22%	56%	71%	52%	49%	31%	59%	62%	56%	29%	32%	31%	53%	59%	58%	43%	55%	32%	47%	49%	50%
Don't know/No opinion	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	2%	1%	3%	4%	2%	3%	4%	4%	1%	2%	4%	1%	1%	4%	3%	2%	1%	4%	2%	2%	3%	3%
														_			_										

Q25. In early 2013, the Governor and Legislature enacted what some have called the toughest gun control law in the country that was named the SAFE Act by Governor Cuomo. Now, more than two years later, do you support or oppose the gun control law passed in 2013?

8																											
			Party		Ger	nder	P	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	ion		 	Income	a
				Ind/												Afr Amer							i I			\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	M	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
Support	62%	77%	40%	55%	58%	65%	78%	67%	40%	65%	61%	76%	62%	46%	59%	73%	79%	63%	64%	58%	54%	80%	59%	73%	63%	61%	65%
Oppose	33%	18%	57%	38%	39%	28%	18%	29%	54%	32%	34%	16%	32%	51%	37%	19%	19%	29%	30%	38%	41%	17%	34%	22%	30%	35%	30%
Don't know/No opinion	6%	5%	3%	7%	4%	7%	4%	4%	6%	4%	5%	8%	6%	3%	4%	8%	3%	8%	5%	4%	5%	2%	7%	4%	7%	4%	5%
																							ı l		1		

In 2011, the Governor and Legislature passed a property tax law that limited the annual increase in property taxes that local governments or school districts could impose on property taxpayers to two percent. That law is scheduled to expire next year but there is talk of addressing the issue this year. [Q26-Q27 ROTATED]

Q26. Supporters of the property tax cap say it has accomplished what was intended - property tax payers are not seeing large annual increases in their school or local taxes, as they had in previous years and that's why the property tax cap law should be continued. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with supporters of the property tax cap?

		Party			Ger	ıder	P	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	gion			Income	e
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	M	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
Strongly agree	37%	31%	45%	39%	36%	38%	28%	38%	48%	36%	38%	33%	47%	36%	38%	36%	33%	19%	39%	46%	43%	35%	38%	29%	35%	37%	37%
Somewhat agree	36%	41%	30%	34%	37%	34%	37%	39%	31%	42%	34%	34%	30%	41%	35%	38%	29%	39%	40%	30%	32%	32%	40%	38%	37%	41%	32%
Somewhat disagree	13%	12%	14%	13%	15%	11%	16%	12%	10%	12%	13%	15%	12%	11%	13%	9%	17%	23%	10%	11%	13%	16%	9%	17%	11%	11%	17%
Strongly disagree	10%	11%	10%	9%	9%	11%	14%	8%	9%	7%	11%	11%	9%	10%	10%	10%	13%	13%	10%	8%	9%	12%	7%	14%	11%	10%	10%
Don't know/No opinion	4%	4%	0%	5%	2%	5%	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%	6%	2%	3%	3%	7%	7%	5%	2%	5%	3%	5%	7%	2%	6%	2%	3%
1																											

SNY0515 Crosstabs.xlsx 5 of 7

Q27. Opponents of the property tax cap say it has forced some school districts and local governments to cut programs and services since they don't have the ability to raise additional money through the property tax, and, they argue, that's why the property tax cap law should be eliminated or changed. Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with opponents of the property tax cap?

				Gen	der	P	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	ion			Income	e	
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
Strongly agree	16%	15%	18%	15%	16%	15%	19%	12%	17%	11%	17%	15%	15%	17%	15%	20%	23%	23%	13%	14%	15%	14%	11%	23%	19%	12%	17%
Somewhat agree	25%	28%	23%	23%	23%	27%	32%	25%	18%	27%	25%	26%	22%	26%	24%	25%	27%	34%	23%	21%	26%	14%	26%	27%	28%	28%	21%
Somewhat disagree	22%	25%	18%	22%	21%	23%	21%	24%	22%	26%	21%	25%	20%	20%	23%	23%	21%	26%	22%	21%	17%	28%	26%	24%	20%	24%	24%
Strongly disagree	33%	27%	38%	37%	36%	31%	23%	37%	40%	33%	34%	27%	41%	34%	35%	25%	25%	13%	39%	39%	38%	39%	33%	23%	26%	34%	36%
Don't know/No opinion	4%	5%	2%	3%	5%	4%	4%	3%	3%	4%	4%	7%	2%	3%	3%	7%	4%	4%	3%	6%	4%	6%	4%	3%	6%	3%	3%

Q28. Having thought about it a little, would you like to see the property tax cap law: [CHOICES ROTATED]

a=or riaring thought about it a little, from a	,		оо р	, ope,	tun tu	P .u	[00.0		,,,,																		
			Party		Ger	nder	P	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	gion			Income	e
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
Continued as is	43%	40%	47%	47%	42%	44%	29%	45%	56%	46%	43%	37%	52%	44%	46%	33%	36%	20%	47%	53%	47%	42%	49%	31%	39%	46%	40%
Continued but changed to give school																											
districts and local governments a little	36%	41%	33%	34%	38%	35%	49%	36%	27%	39%	36%	38%	32%	37%	35%	41%	39%	49%	39%	28%	34%	35%	30%	48%	35%	37%	42%
more flexibility to increase property taxes																											
Eliminated	17%	16%	17%	14%	16%	17%	17%	16%	13%	11%	18%	19%	15%	15%	16%	20%	21%	27%	11%	15%	16%	18%	16%	17%	20%	15%	15%
Don't know/No opinion	4%	3%	2%	5%	3%	5%	5%	3%	4%	4%	3%	6%	2%	3%	4%	6%	4%	4%	3%	5%	3%	5%	6%	4%	5%	2%	4%
														•	,				•	•		,					

Q29. On another issue, Governor Cuomo has been promoting his Start-Up New York program, aimed at encouraging businesses to locate in certain areas, primarily on or near college campuses, throughout New York State and create jobs here. How much have you heard about the Governor's Start-Up New York program - a great deal, some, not very much, nothing at all?

		Party			Gen	der	P	olitical	View	Unio	n HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Relig	gion			Income	3
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	l
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
A great deal	17%	18%	16%	15%	22%	12%	16%	17%	17%	22%	15%	12%	12%	25%	17%	17%	12%	11%	18%	19%	19%	14%	13%	16%	14%	19%	18%
Some	31%	28%	37%	31%	33%	29%	26%	33%	35%	34%	30%	25%	34%	35%	34%	26%	24%	18%	35%	35%	30%	33%	38%	26%	25%	36%	33%
Not very much	27%	29%	23%	26%	24%	30%	26%	32%	23%	25%	29%	31%	32%	21%	25%	36%	26%	30%	26%	27%	23%	29%	26%	34%	34%	22%	27%
Nothing at all	25%	25%	23%	28%	20%	28%	31%	19%	25%	20%	26%	32%	22%	19%	24%	21%	38%	42%	21%	19%	27%	24%	22%	23%	28%	23%	22%
Don't know/No opinion	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Q30. [FIRST TWO SENTENCES ROTATED] Governor Cuomo and Start-Up New York supporters say that because businesses that locate in those specific areas will pay no state taxes, dozens of businesses have already located in New York and many more will, creating thousands of new jobs. Opponents of Start-Up New York say that the state is spending hundreds of millions of dollars on TV ads promoting the program and trying to make the Governor look good but that the program has so far created fewer than 100 new jobs. Do you support or oppose the Start-Up New York program?

into to the state term in the part of part and part of part and part of the pa																											
		Party			Gender		Political View			Union HH		Region			Ethnicity			Age			Religion			Income			
				Ind/												Afr Amer										\$50K-	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Other	М	F	Lib	Mod	Conserv	Yes	No	NYC	Subs	Upst	White	/Black	Latino	18-34	35-54	55+	Cath	Jewish	Prot	Other	<\$50K	\$100K	\$100K+
Support	52%	62%	42%	45%	50%	55%	54%	60%	41%	48%	55%	59%	53%	45%	47%	73%	62%	62%	55%	44%	51%	54%	58%	49%	57%	56%	49%
Oppose	37%	28%	51%	40%	39%	34%	32%	30%	51%	39%	35%	26%	35%	49%	41%	21%	27%	27%	34%	45%	42%	28%	34%	35%	33%	38%	36%
Don't know/No opinion	11%	9%	7%	14%	11%	11%	14%	9%	8%	13%	10%	15%	12%	6%	11%	6%	11%	11%	11%	11%	7%	18%	8%	16%	11%	6%	15%

SNY0515 Crosstabs.xlsx 6 of 7

Nature of the Sample								
New York State Registered Voters								
Party								
Democrat								
Republican								
Independent/Other								
Region								
NYC								
Suburbs								
Upstate								
Political View								
Liberal								
Moderate								
Conservative								
Union Household								
Yes	25%							
No								
Religion								
Catholic								
Jewish								
Protestant								
Other								
Age								
18 to 34								
35 to 54								
55 and older								
Gender								
Male	45%							
Female	55%							
Race/Ethnicity								
White	67%							
African American/Black								
Latino								
Income								
Less than \$50,000								
\$50,000 to \$100,000								
\$100,000 or more	29%							

SNY0515 Crosstabs.xlsx 7 of 7