TI Disclosure Elements	
Poll Name	ReconMR Texas Poll
1. Data Collection Strategy	A quantitative research study among registered voters in Texas. Topics included likelihood of voting in the upcoming elections, as well as issues of importance to voters.
2A. Research Sponsor	ReconMR and Texas A&M Bush School
2B. Research Conducted By	ReconMR
3. Measurement Tools/Instruments	The full questionnaire with exact wording can be found within the survey crosstabs and on our website.
4. Population Under Study	Likely Texas Voters
5A. Sampling Method	Survey respondents were contacted through dual-frame probability sampling of landline and cell phone telephone numbers.
5B. Sampling Specification	This is a Registration Based Sample. Only registered voters in the state voter file are in the universe. The telephone sample was acquired from the L2 database of registered voters.
5C. Quotas	Quotas were used during data collection to limit weighting effects. Quotas were set based on the combination of a voter's region, race, and political affiliation.
5D. Incentives	No incentives were offered or paid to survey respondents.
6A. Modes of Data Collection	Data was collected over the phone by live telephone interviewers and online via text messages with customized survey invitations.
6B. Languages Offered	Interviews were conducted in English and Spanish.
7. Dates of Data Collection	The survey was fielded between September 8 and 11, 2026.
8A. CATI Surveys	Surveys completed with a live telephone interviewer: N = 455
8B. Text to Web Surveys	Surveys completed with online from a text message invitation: N = 164
8C. Web Panel Surveys	Surveys completed with online via an online panel invitation: N = 0
8D. Margin of Error	For the sample that answered the core questions the overall margin of error of +/- 4.7 percentage points, including the design effects resulting from weighting. For the sample that answered the entire survey, it has an overall margin of errof of +/- 5.5 percentage points, including design effects.
8E. Data Coding	All coding of open-ended responses were conducted by human coders.
9. Weighting Procedures	To ensure representativeness, the sample was weighted to match estimates from the L2's state voter file, on party, age, and sex; the board of elections for region; exit polls for race/ethnicity and education; and a combined measure of stated and derived vote likelihood
10. Data Processing and Quality	Random quality assurance monitoring is conducted to review telephone interviews. Data are only discarded in the event that interviewers fail key quality benchmarks.
11. Statement of Limitations	Sampling error is only one of many potential sources of error and there may be other unmeasured error in this or any other public opinion poll. Real-world error includes sources of error beyond sampling error, such as nonresponse bias, coverage error, late shifts among undecided voters and error in estimating the composition of the electorate.
12. AI Use Disclosure	This research included no use of AI in questionnaire development, data collection, data analysis, or presentation of data.